



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

H

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/934,248	08/21/2001	Byung J. Choi	21554-027001 / PA1909V07	6502
26201 7590 09/04/2007 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. P.O BOX 1022 Minneapolis, MN 55440-1022			EXAMINER VARGOT, MATHIEU D	
			ART UNIT 1732	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 09/04/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action
After the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.

09/934,248

Examiner

Mathieu D. Vargot

Applicant(s)

CHOI ET AL.

Art Unit

1732

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The reply filed 19 June 2007 is acknowledged.

1. ☐ The reply filed on or after the date of filing of an appeal brief, but prior to a final decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, will not be entered because:

a. ☐ The amendment is not limited to canceling claims (where the cancellation does not affect the scope of any other pending claims) or rewriting dependent claims into independent form (no limitation of a dependent claim can be excluded in rewriting that claim). See 37 CFR 41.33(b) and (c).

b. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is not timely filed before the filing of an appeal brief.
See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(2).

2. ☐ The reply is not entered because it was not filed within the two month time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.39(b), 41.50(a)(2), or 41.50(b) (whichever is appropriate). Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are not available.

Note: This paragraph is for a reply filed in response to one of the following: (a) an examiner's answer that includes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41.39(a)(2)); (b) a supplemental examiner's answer written in response to a remand by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for further consideration of rejection (37 CFR 41.50(a)(2)); or (c) a Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences decision that includes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41.50(b)).

3. ☐ The reply is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

4. ☒ Other: Applicant's reply to the Notice of Defective Brief has been noted. However, such a reply needs to be filed with the Brief as an amended Brief, not as an amendment after final. Further, it should be noted that the instant reply does not correct the deficiencies noted in the Notice of Defective Brief mailed April 30, 2007. For instance, for each of the independent claims, applicant needs to point out where in the specification each limitation is in each of the claims. I.e., each limitation must be mapped to the specification by page and line number following each limitation, the page and line number being set forth in parentheses following the limitation. Applicant has merely generally set forth where the claimed limitations are to be found at the end of each independent claim. This is not sufficient.

M. Vargot
MATHIEU D. VARGOT
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1900

8/23/07